

Understanding the relationship between interventions and outcomes.

Erasmus + Masterclass

Dr Mike Fray

Terminology

Loughborough

University

- Intervention
 - Workplace intervention
 - Physical, procedural, engineering, behavioural, training
- Outcome
 - Quantity or quality arising from the intervention
- Outcome Measures
 - Value associated with the recorded outcome
 - Measure pre and post
- Outcome Measurement Tools
 - Complex measurement device with built in assessment system (MAPO, DiNO, SOPMAS PHOQS, CT, etc)



- Change is often managed less effectively than it might be because those responsible for managing it fail to attend to some of the critical aspects of the change process
- (Hayes 2002)





How do you measure that the change process has been successful?

Did you have a target? Do you have a measure of success? Can you ever reach 100% of anything?



What Intervention Strategies Are There to Reduce Patient Handling Risks ?





What Intervention Strategies Are There to Reduce Patient Handling Risks ?

Organisational Environmental/Physical Personal/Behavioural



Interver	ntions strategies (literature review)	ghborough ersity
	Intervention strategy	
Organisational	Risk Assessment Work organisation / practices changed Feedback Group problem solving / Team building Review / Change of policies and procedures / Safe systems of work Discussion of goals with clients (patient) Change / Introduce patient risk assessment system Introduction of hazard register Audit of working practices / Risk assessments Patient handling supervisor, peer leader, local risk assessor Management systems, change management, organisational structures National regulation	
Physical or engineering	Equipment purchase or provision (including training) Equipment design / evaluation Equipment maintenance Work environment redesign, space constraints addressed Review staffing levels, Increase staffing levels Introduction of lifting team programme Task analysis, job design analysis Change in uniforms	
Personal	Education and training Injury monitoring, treatment e.g. Return to work Physical fitness training Stress management Medical examination and lifting skill assessment	



What Intervention Strategies Are There to Reduce Patient Handling Risks ?

Hierarchy of Control (ИК НSE 1998)

Elimination

Substitution

Prevent Access

Limiting Access or Exposure

Warnings

Personal Protective Equipment

Behavioural



What change do you hope to achieve?

What is the intended outcome? Who is to change? Who is the change agent? Who benefits from the change?





How would you measure the change?



Outcomes



Beneficiary	Outcome	No	
Staff (429)	Staff competence	25	
	Staff competence (Org)	3	
	Staff injuries	81	
	Staff knowledge skill	12	
		12	
	Staff perception	127	
	Staff use of equipment	14	
	Physical workload	153	
	Psychological well-being	5	
	Modified Work	2	
	Number of staff	5	
	Carer perception	2	
Patient (44)	Patient perception	38	
	Patient result	6	
	Financial	28	
Organisation (83)	Incident/Accident	8	
	Quality of care	1	
	Risk assessment	6	
	Staff absence	32	
	Training numbers	7	
	Audit performance	1	
Task (32)	PH techniques	10	
	Time for task	22	
Equipment	Equipment	8	
Relative	Relative perception	2	
	Tota	598	

Conservational Tools

- Organisational Checklist-Dr Sue Hignett
- MAPO-Dr Natale Battevi
- Care Thermometer-Nico and Hanneke Knibbe (Arjo-Huntleigh ab)
- DiNO-Christina Johnsson
- SOPMAS-Leena Tamminen-Peter



.....

Beneficiary	Outcome Measure	ΤοοΙ				
Organisation outcome	Financial evaluation Sickness absence OH management					
	Organisational commitment	MAI	MARCH			
	Patient handling safety culture			PHOQS		
Task outcome	Hoisting Equipment Other handling aids Environment provision Training provision	MAPO MAPO MAPO MAPO	Care Thermometer	Quick scan Quick scan	HIT	
Staff outcome	Physical outcome measures Laboratory observation Field observation	Posture / exposure / biomechanics Posture / exposure / biomechanics			S S	
	Compliance with safe methods Video observation Field observation	Pate, Warming DINO				
	Skill levels and competencies	SOPMAS				
Patient outcome		Only subjective assessment criteria				



Tool for Risks Outstanding in Patient Handling Interventions (TROPHI)

Fray M., Hignett S. (2013). TROPHI: Development of a tool to measure complex, multifactorial patient handling interventions. *Ergonomics* 56, 8, 1280-1294.

Sponsors: Arjo-Huntleigh ab

Context of study

Loughborough

University

- Many reviews have failed to identify MSD reduction from patient handling interventions (Van Poppel 2005, Bos et al 2006, Amick et al 2006, Haslam et al 2006, Dawson et al, 2007, Martimo et al 2008)
- More inclusive reviews identify that other outcomes could be used to show success (Hignett

et al 2003, Fray and Hignett 2006)

How can different interventions be compared when different measures are used?



- Measure the success of patient handling interventions
- Differentiate between levels of performance in patient handling management
- Be applicable across EU
- Be usable by identified data collectors (PHA)



Methodology





Focus Group Study





- Four EU focus groups (n=34)
 - UK
 - Finland
 - Italy
 - Portugal
- Two expert panels (n=10)
 - Holland, USA, Australia, Belgium, Finland, Portugal, Italy, Germany
 - Cross comparison





Qualitative Analysis





Most Important Outcomes (Ranks)

Theme	Italy	Port	Fin	UK	TOTAL	
Accidents	8	3	11	5.5	6	
Absence, Staff health		8	2	3.5	4	
Financial	11.5	11.5	7	10	12	
Risk Management, Safety culture	2	1	1	2	1	
MSD Measures	1	5	8	1	2	
Exposure Measures	11.5	9	5	12	10	
Compliance, competence	4	2	4	5.5	3	
Psychological well-being	10	6.5	9	3.5	7	
Patient injuries	8	11.5	11	9	11	
Patient perception	8	10	11	8	9	
Patient condition	6	6.5	6	11	8	
Quality of care	5	4	3	7	5	



Included outcomes

Outcome	Conversion factor
Safety culture	12
MS health measures	11
Competence compliance	10
Absence or staff health	9
Quality of care	8
Accident numbers	7
Psychological well being	6
Patient condition	5
Patient perception	4
MSD exposure measures	3
Patient injuries	2
Financial	1



Literature Analysis



Literature Analysis

Loughborough

University

- Search Strategies from existing publication (Hignett et al 2003)
- Intervention type, study design
- Specific outcome recorded
- Level of outcome measure (Robson et al 2007)
- Measurement device used for outcome
- Academic quality score (Downs and Black 1998)
- Practitioner rating (Hignett et al 2003)
- Ranking of outcome from EU study
- 323 studies 2 reviewers



Literature Analysis - Level of Outcome (Robson 2007)

Intervention

Outcomes that measure quantities and qualities of the intervention

Outcomes that represent a reduction in exposure to known risk factors

Outcomes that measure real effects in the target population in a real situation

Loughborough University

TROPHI Evaluation Tool

Inclusion Criteria

- Tool used in patient handling study
- Level of the QR >50% (308 Included)

Selection Criteria

- Evidence of peer reviewed validation studies
- Used to score a peer reviewed intervention trial
- Most frequently used measurement devices
- Complexity of the data collection in health

Format of TROPHI tool



Preferred outcome	Method for collection	Source paper
Safety Culture	PHOQS Documentation review	Hignett 2005, 2007
MSD measures	Nordic Questionnaire (or derivative)	Knibbe 1996 Lagerstrom 1997
Competence Compliance	Observational checklist. DINO	Johnsson 2002, 2005
Absence or staff health	OSHA Logs. Standardised data per population	Charney 1997, Nelson 2006
Quality of care	Meeting clinical needs of the patient, patient evaluation.	Nelson 2008
Accident numbers	Standardised incident numbers and non-reporting ratio	Menckel 1997
Psychological well being	Job satisfaction Psychosocial stressors	Evanoff 1999
Patient condition	Meeting the clinical needs of the patient, staff evaluation.	Arjo Care Therm. 2007 Nelson 2008
Patient perception	Comfort, security, fear patient evaluation	Kjellberg 2004
MSD exposure measures	Patient handling demand	Knibbe 1999, Cohen 2004, Arjo Resident Gallery 2005
Patient injuries	Detrimental effects of poor case management	New tool
Financial	Calculation of costs v. investment	Chokar 2005, Nelson 2006, Collins 2004

Data Collection Format

Loughborough

University

- 1. Organisation Review -Interview with manager
- 2. Safety Culture Audit -Interview with manager
- Patient Handling Observation Staff and Patient feedback
- 4. Staff and Patient Survey distributed, self completion.
- 3 hours



TROPHI report table

	Outcome Theme	No Observed	Observations required	Score	%
1	Safety Culture			2.93	26.67
2	MS Health Measures			2.93	26.67
3	Compliance, Competence			2.73	27.34
4	Absence or Staff Health			0.00	0.00
5	Quality of Care			2.67	33.33
6	Incidents and Accidents			10.29	128.57
7	Psychological Well-being			4.51	75.13
8	Patient Condition			0.80	15.91
9	Patient Perception			0.50	12.50
10	MSD Exposure Measures			2.40	80.00
11	Patient Injuries			1.45	72.73
12	Financial			0.00	0.00

TROPHI Total (87)



31.21

Evaluation Studies

oughborough

Jniversity

- 4 EU sites, UK, FI, PO, IT 2 wards.
- Kettering General Hospital Audit Feedback
- Edinburgh Royal Infirmary Comparison with SAQ Safety Culture (Sexton et al 2011)
- Inter-rater reliability North West multiple site multiple observers
- New Zealand Counties Manakau DHB. New system set up and monitoring.
- Ohio BWC project 50 LTC centres



Thank you for listening







Thank You

